Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/830,042	HOLT, JOHN M.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JAMES RUTTEN	2192
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>after-final</u>		
(1) <u>JAMES RUTTEN</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Larry J. Hume, Reg. No. 44,163</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 July 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 17, 18, 24-26 and 52 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ✓ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/J. Derek Rutten/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2192 (A)	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)

/ Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner noted that claims 17, 18, 24-26 and 52 did not comply with 37 CFR 1.121 since they are presented with a status identifier as both "Previously Presented" and "Cancelled." Mr. Hume filed a supplemental amendment to correct the matter on 7/26/2010.